Open-source JIRA

Project: Science Ready Data Products
Fix Version: TTA CoDR
Status: In Review
Sorted by: Key descending
1–17 of **17** as at: **13/Apr/20 4:34 PM**

Key	Summary	Components	Description	Suggested Solution
SRDP-542	"Vetting" in Table 2 is blank	688-TTAT-004-MGMT: System Description	the "Vetting" row in Table 2 has blank entries; not sure whether this was on purpose, or an oversight.	In Table 2, remove the "Vetting" row.
SRDP-539	automatic checking of font size limitation?	688-TTAT-004-MGMT: System Description	Will the font size limitation in the .pdf documents be checked automatically?	
SRDP-536	what is the difference between a scheduling block and an execution block?	688-TTAT-002-MGMT: TTA Tools Concept	The difference was not clear.	
SRDP-535	author gender should have more than two options	688-TTAT-002-MGMT: TTA Tools Concept	Gathering metrics on gender is an excellent step towards evaluating systematic effects. A person should be able to specify his/her/their preferred gender using more than just binary (i.e. M/F) options.	
SRDP-534	what is the global ID?	688-TTAT-002-MGMT: TTA Tools Concept	This is mentioned on page 9 but not defined. I inferred that it is different than the proposal ID.	
SRDP-533	what are projects that include SRDPs	688-TTAT-002-MGMT: TTA Tools Concept	Text distinguishes VLA "projects that include SRDPs" but this is not described further.	
SRDP-529	how do the risk and issue owners interact with each other?	530-SRDP-044-MGMT: Program Plan	this actually refers to the document 530-~~SRDP-044~~-MGMT. The risk owner is not the same as the issue owner – how do these owners interact with each other?	
SRDP-525	Resource loss risk	530-SRDP-006-MGMT: Risk Register	I realise the project is resource limited, but I thought that a risk pertaining to the loss of resources, especially critical ones, because of unanticipated operational needs should be present.	
SRDP-502	Non functional requirements?	688-TTAT-004-MGMT: System Description	Section 2.3, are NFRs 1 and 2 really *non-*Functional? They sound fairly functional to me.	
SRDP-450	Requirements Report: order of issues in Level 1	688-TTAT-014-MGMT: Requirements Report	688-TTAT-014-MGMT.pdf Requirements Report p. 7: Why are these system requirements (at level 1) listed in completely random order? The order makes reasonable sense for the requirements in level 0. Here the order makes it impossible to track what's going on effectively. This is an unfortunate effect of using the system to model the requirements. Roughly the L1s are organized into Solicitation, Proposal,... but within each portion the order is somewhat arbitrary. Requirements were added to the model as they were understood, which means they are not really in a logical order. Within the model the relationship is shown by a diagram, but those do not translate well	

			to paper. I'm not sure how to address this.	
SRDP-446	Error! Reference source not found.	Software Development Process	p. 18: two instances of "Error! Reference source not found."	Links were not converted properly from Word to PDF. They should read: "Section 5, Software Testing Verification and Validation" and "Section 6, Release Management"
SRDP-445	unclear phrase	Software Development Process	p. 14, 10.1.1 #3; "localize the code to be repaired, and A" —Was there supposed to be another phrase on this line?	
SRDP-443	Error: Reference source not found	Work Management Plan For SRDP	p. 11: "Error: Reference source not found" in bold.	This looks like an artifact of converting the Word document to a PDF. The "reference" refers to Figure 2.
SRDP-442	Error: Reference source not found	Work Management Plan For SRDP	p. 5: "Error: Reference source not found" in bold.	The error is an artifact of conversion from Word to a PDF document. The "references" are to Sections 5.1 and 5.2.
SRDP-438	Modify Disposition during & after also?	688-TTAT-004-MGMT: System Description	p. 33, Functional Requirements, point 4; "..shall be able to create and modify the Allocation Disposition before the TAC meeting." —Surely we want them to be able to also modify the Disposition **during and after** the TAC meeting?	Modify the text as: "A TTA Group member shall be able to create and modify the Allocation Disposition before, during, and after the TAC meeting."
SRDP-437	refer to the feasibility reviews?	688-TTAT-004-MGMT: System Description	p. 31, Functional Requirements, element 4; "in addition to the online display of proposals they shall be made available for SRP members and the TTA Group as…." —Should this refer to the feasibility reviews here, rather than the proposals? Similarly for element 5?	Suggest modifying the text: 4. To facilitate the review process, in addition to the online display of proposals they shall be made available for Feasibility reviewers and the TTA Group

as:
(a) Individual PDF files of each Proposal.
(b) A tar file containing all of the individual Proposal PDF files.
(c) A single PDF file containing all of the Proposals for the Feasibility reviewer.

| SRDP-433 | Check boxes for joint time, multiple semesters? | 688-TTAT-004-MGMT: System Description | p. 20; this might be a good place to require clear check boxes for proposers to mark for:
—Joint time with external facilities (choose from list).
—Specify which semesters they request time on. (This isn't always clear in the current system, causing annoyance to SRPs.)
This is not an exhaustive list. | Add a Functional Requirement in section 3.2.2:

"For Semester Solicitations the author shall be able to specify the semesters for which the proposed observations are expected to be executed for each Allocation Request." |